

Chile

Last Recognizing.

This text should be retained.

First Resolves.

The language that the Parties should act in the best interest of the species is important and should be retained. The original text should be maintained.

Second RESOLVES, para b).

The definition of affected by trade should be maintained in the operational part, and in its original form, because it is a condition for listing. It will be very difficult to demonstrate that trade is detrimental, and goes much further than the text of the Convention.

New Recommends

Opposes the inclusion of this text. It is not precautionary, and will occur only very infrequently. If it occurs, the Parties can make their decision without the need for this paragraph.

Annex 1 Para D.

Strongly supports maintaining the original text. It is an important precautionary criterion that avoids premature elimination of a species from the Appendices. We do not agree that it is preferable to transfer a species to Appendix II with a zero quota.

Annex 2a, para B.

Prefers to maintain the original paragraph. The newly proposed text prevents the inclusion in Appendix II of many species for which it can not be demonstrated that the trade is detrimental to their survival. Annex 2B, para A.

Opposes the new text, because it places a heavy burden on the proponent and wants that the old paragraph B be maintained.

Annex 3.

Supports the retention of the original text. The reference to Annex 4 in the first paragraph gives the impression that split-listing is preferred to facilitate trade. We do not agree to this. The second paragraph is not as strong as the original text.

Annex 4, old para A.

We do not agree to the deletion of this paragraph. It is a declaration about the precautionary principle, which is the focus of this Annex.

Annex 4, paragraph B.2.

Normally should be deleted.

Annex 4, para B.2.c.

Can not agree to the deletion of this paragraph, because it will require regular decisions by the CoP for new quota.

Annex 4, para B.4.

Supports the new text, but the old paragraph 4 should also be maintained.

Annex 5. Decline

Opposes the use of numerical values. There is no scientific basis for these, and they are not uniformly applicable to all species and in all circumstances. They should be marked clearly everywhere as was done in the original Resolution Conf. 9.24.

Annex 6, Other comments.

Can not agree with the requirement that Parties should take into account comments from intergovernmental organizations when preparing proposals. The original text only required consultation of such organizations.